COMMUNICATION WITH THOSE CHARGED WITH GOVERNANCE
UNDER SAS NO. 114

December 28, 2012

To the Emergency Financial Manager
City of Pontiac, Michigan

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Pontiac (the “City”) for the year ended June 30, 2012, and have issued our report thereon dated December 28, 2012. Professional standards require that we provide you with the following information related to our audit.

Our Responsibility Under Auditing Standards Generally Accepted in the United States of America and OMB Circular A-133

As stated in our engagement letter dated April 13, 2012, our responsibility, as described by professional standards, is to express opinions about whether the financial statements prepared by management with your oversight are fairly presented, in all material respects, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our audit of the financial statements does not relieve you or management of your responsibilities.

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City’s internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. We also considered internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City’s financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit. Also, in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, we examined, on a test basis, evidence about the City’s compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement applicable to each of its major federal programs for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the City’s compliance with those requirements. While our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion, it does not provide a legal determination on the City’s compliance with those requirements.

Other Information in Documents Containing Audited Financial Statements

Our responsibility for the supplementary information accompanying the financial statements, as described by professional standards, is to evaluate the presentation of the supplementary information in relation to the financial statements as a whole and to report on whether the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole. We made certain inquiries of management and evaluated the form, content, and methods of preparing the information to determine that the information complies with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the method of preparing it has not changed from the prior
period, and the information is appropriate and complete in relation to our audit of the financial statements. We compared and reconciled the supplementary information to the underlying accounting records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves.

**Planned Scope and Timing of the Audit**

We performed the audit according to the planned scope and timing previously communicated to you in our engagement letter.

**Significant Results of the Audit**

**Qualitative Aspects of Accounting Practices**

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. In accordance with the terms of our engagement letter, we will advise management about the appropriateness of accounting policies and their application. The significant accounting policies used by the City are described in Note 1 to the financial statements. No new accounting policies were adopted and the application of existing policies was not changed during the year. We noted no transactions entered into by the City during the year for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus. There are no significant transactions that have been recognized in the financial statements in a different period than when the transaction occurred.

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements prepared by management and are based on management’s knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected. The most sensitive estimates affecting the financial statements were:

- Management’s estimate of the useful lives of depreciable capital assets is based on the length of time it is believed that those assets will provide some economic benefit in the future. In addition, management does not have detailed records of its capital assets, and as a result, estimates are used to account for the deletion and depreciation of individual assets.

- Management’s estimate of the accrued compensated absences is based on current hourly rates and policies regarding payment of sick and vacation banks.

- Management’s estimate of the allowance for uncollectible receivable balances is based on past experience and future expectation for collection of various account balances.

- Management’s estimate of the insurance claims incurred but not reported is based on information provided by the entity’s third party administrators and subsequent claims activity.

- The assumptions used in the actuarial valuations of the pension and other postemployment benefits plans are based on historical trends and industry standards.

We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop these estimates in determining that they are reasonable in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.
Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

We encountered no significant difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit, except that certain information was not available timely.

Corrected and Uncorrected Misstatements

Professional standards require us to accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that are trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. Attachment B to this letter summarizes uncorrected misstatements of the financial statements. Management has determined that their effects are immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to each opinion unit’s financial statements taken as a whole. The material misstatements detected as a result of audit procedures and corrected by management are described in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs issued as part of our report on Single Audit Compliance.

Upcoming Changes in Accounting Standards

Generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) are continually changing in order to promote the usability and enhance the applicability of information included in external financial reporting. While it would not be practical to include an in-depth discussion of every upcoming change in professional standards, Attachment C to this letter contains a brief overview of recent pronouncements of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and their related effective dates. Management is responsible for reviewing these standards, determining their applicability, and implementing them in future accounting periods.

Disagreements with Management

For purposes of this letter, professional standards define a disagreement with management as a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, that could be significant to the financial statements or the auditor’s report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

Management Representations

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the attached management representation letter dated December 28, 2012.

Management Consultations with Other Independent Accountants

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a “second opinion” on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the entity’s financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor’s opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

Other Audit Findings or Issues

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management each year prior to retention as the governmental unit’s auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.
This information is intended solely for the use of the Emergency Financial Manager and management of the City of Pontiac and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Rehmann, Robin
We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Pontiac (the “City”) for the year ended June 30, 2012, and have issued our report thereon dated December 28, 2012. We did not audit the financial statements of the General City Employees’ Retirement System, the Police and Fire Retirement System, the General Employee’s Retiree Health Insurance Benefits Trust and the Police and Fire Retiree Prefunded Group Health and Insurance Trust. Those financial statements were audited by other auditors whose report thereon has been furnished to us, and our opinion on the financial statements and this report, insofar as they relate to the General City Employees’ Retirement System, the Police and Fire Retirement System, the General Employee’s Retiree Health Insurance Benefits Trust and the Police and Fire Retiree Prefunded Group Health and Insurance Trust, are based solely on the report of other auditors.

In planning and performing our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, we considered the City’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City’s internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and, therefore, there can be no assurance that all such deficiencies have been identified. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses and other deficiencies that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. The deficiencies we noted that we consider to be material weaknesses are described in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs issued as part of our report on Single Audit Act compliance.

A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. The deficiencies we noted that we consider to be significant deficiencies are described in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs issued as part of our report on Single Audit Act compliance.

Other Matters

Unprocessed Cash Receipts at the Court. While performing a walkthrough of internal controls at the Court, we noted a significant number of unprocessed checks related to garnishment claims. The checks are restrictively endorsed when received; however, there is a significant time lag between when these claims are received and when they are processed. Management should review procedures related to the processing of these garnishment claims and consider implementing more efficient procedures to enhance internal controls over this process.
CITY OF PONTIAC

Attachment A - Consideration of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting
For the June 30, 2012 Audit

Stale Checks (repeat). During our testing of bank reconciliations, it came to our attention that there are a significant number of checks that have been outstanding for more than one year. The City should follow the State of Michigan’s Uniform Unclaimed Property Act for checks that have been outstanding for more than one year.

Petty Cash Reconciliation. The City has approximately $15,000 recorded in petty cash. These accounts are not being reconciled and many of them do not have a custodian assigned. Some of these petty cash amounts belong to departments that have since been outsourced by the City. Management should assign a custodian to each petty cash fund and implement procedures to ensure that these funds are being reconciled periodically. In addition, petty cash funds related to departments that have been outsourced should be eliminated.
CITY OF PONTIAC

Attachment B - Schedule of Adjustments Passed (SOAP)
For the June 30, 2012 Audit

In accordance with the provisions of SAS 107, Audit Risk and Materiality, we have prepared the following schedule of proposed audit adjustments, which we believe are immaterial both individually and in the aggregate. Also in accordance with SAS 107, we are providing this schedule to both management and those charged with governance to receive their assurance that they agree that the amounts listed below are not material to the financial statements, either individually or in the aggregate, and do not need to be recorded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect of Passed Adjustment - Over(Under)Statement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Governmental activities**
Long-term debt understated related to tax refund due

$ - $ (102,375) $ - $ - $ (102,375)

**Business-type activities**

Water fund
Reclass of customer overpayments

$ (177,905) $ (177,905) $ - $ - $ -

Sewer fund
Reclass of customer overpayments

(145,558) (145,558) - - -

Total business-type activities

$ (323,463) $ (323,463) $ - $ - $ -
CITY OF PONTIAC

Attachment C - Upcoming Changes in Accounting Standards
For the June 30, 2012 Audit

The following pronouncements of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) have been released recently and may be applicable to the City in the near future. We encourage management to review the following information and determine which standard(s) may be applicable to the City. For the complete text of these and other GASB standards, visit www.gasb.org and click on the “pronouncements” tab. If you have questions regarding the applicability, timing, or implementation approach for any of these standards, please contact your audit team.

GASB 63 ■ Deferred Inflows, Outflows, and Net Position
Effective 12/15/2012 (your FY 2013)

This standard will introduce new terminology to the balance sheet by creating a category of quasi-assets called “deferred outflows”, and a category of quasi-liabilities called “deferred inflows”. As a result, the term “net assets” will no longer be used, and is replaced by the more generic term “net position”. Essentially, deferred inflows and outflows will be used to report transactions that occurred prior to year end that relate to revenues and expenses (inflows and outflows) of future periods. The format of the new “statement of net position” will be presented as

\[\text{assets} + \text{deferred outflows} - \text{liabilities} - \text{deferred inflows} = \text{net position}\]

Net position will be further categorized into net investment in capital assets, restricted, and unrestricted amounts. Fund balance of governmental funds will be unaffected, and governmental funds will continue to report a traditional balance sheet (\(\text{assets} = \text{liabilities} + \text{fund balance}\)).

GASB 64 ■ Derivative Instruments (an Amendment of GASB 53)
Effective 06/15/2011 (your FY 2011)

Under certain circumstances, a government is permitted to enter into swap agreements to “hedge” or protect against the risk of loss. The government is required to recognize the income statement impact of a hedge upon its termination. This standard addresses whether amending an existing swap agreement or entering into a new swap agreement qualifies as a termination for accounting purposes.

Statement No. 64 is already in effect. However, since the City does not hold any derivative instruments, this standard had no impact on its financial reporting.

GASB 65 ■ Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities
Effective 12/15/2013 (your FY 2014)

This standard builds on the new terminology introduced in GASB 63. Certain items previously reported as assets and liabilities will now be classified as deferred inflows and outflows, while other items will no longer be carried on the balance sheet. As such, a restatement of beginning equity may be required to write off balances from previous years that no longer meet the definition for presentation on the balance sheet. The term “deferred revenue” will no longer be used by governments for any purpose. While GASB 65 is not required to be implemented until one year after GASB 63, we recommend that they be adopted together, as the standards complement one another.
The following table provides examples of balances affected by this standard:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Pre-GASB 65</th>
<th>Post-GASB 65</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loss/gain on refunding of bonds payable</td>
<td>Liability/asset (&quot;deferred loss/gain on refunding&quot;)</td>
<td>Deferred outflow/inflow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property taxes levied prior to the year they are intended to finance</td>
<td>Liability (&quot;deferred revenue&quot;)</td>
<td>Deferred inflow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant funds received but all grant requirements not met</td>
<td>Provider: asset (&quot;advance to...&quot;) Recipient: liability (&quot;advance from...&quot;)</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant funds received and all grant requirements met, but advance is for the following program year</td>
<td>Provider: asset (&quot;advance to...&quot;) Recipient: liability (&quot;advance from...&quot;)</td>
<td>Provider: deferred outflow Recipient: deferred inflow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond issuance costs</td>
<td>Asset (&quot;deferred bond issuance costs&quot; or &quot;deferred bond charges&quot;)</td>
<td>Expense in year incurred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial direct costs of operating leases</td>
<td>Asset (&quot;prepaid&quot;)</td>
<td>Expense in year incurred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unexpended proceeds of expenditure-driven grants; charges for services collected in advance</td>
<td>Liability (&quot;deferred revenue&quot;)</td>
<td>Liability (&quot;unearned revenue&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues not &quot;available&quot; in governmental funds because they are not collected soon enough after year-end</td>
<td>Liability (&quot;deferred revenue&quot;)</td>
<td>Deferred inflow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GASB 66 ■ 2012 Technical Corrections (an Amendment to GASB 10 and GASB 62)
Effective 12/15/2013 (your FY 2014)

This standard was issued to eliminate conflicting guidance that resulted from the issuance of GASB 54 and GASB 62, which are both already effective. GASB 10 was amended to allow for risk financing activities to be accounted for in whichever fund type is most applicable (no longer limited to the general fund or an internal service fund). GASB 62 was amended to modify specific guidance related to (1) operating leases with scheduled rent increases, (2) purchase of loans at an amount other than the principal amount, and (3) service fees related to mortgages that are sold when the service rate varies significantly from the current (normal) service fees.

We do not expect GASB 66 to have any significant impact on the City at this time.

GASB 67 ■ Financial Reporting for Pension Plans
Effective 06/15/2014 (your FY 2014)

This standard establishes the requirements for pension plans administered by trusts to report on their operations, including setting new uniform requirements for actuarial valuations of the total pension liability, and reporting various 10-year trend data as required supplementary information. The financial statements of pension plans will not change substantially as a result of GASB 67, though the additional note disclosures and required supplementary information will be significant. Additionally, actuarial valuations conducted in accordance with GASB 67 will have to match the government's fiscal year, or be rolled forward to that date by the actuary.
GASB 68  ■ Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions  
*Effective 06/15/2015 (your FY 2015)*

This standard establishes new requirements for governments to report a “net pension liability” for the unfunded portion of its pension plan. Governments that maintain their own pension plans (either single employer or agent multiple-employer) will report a liability for the difference between the total pension liability calculated in accordance with GASB 67 and the amount held in the pension trust fund. Governments that participate in a cost sharing plan will report a liability for their “proportionate share” of the net pension liability of the entire system.

Historically, governments have only been required to report a net pension obligation to the extent that they have not met the annual required contribution (ARC) in any given year. Upon implementation of this standard, governments will be required to report a net pension liability based on the current funded status of their pension plans. This liability would be limited to the government-wide financial statements and proprietary funds. Changes in this liability from year to year will largely be reflected on the income statement, though certain amounts will be deferred and amortized over varying periods.

GASB 68 also requires more extensive note disclosures and required supplementary information, including 10 years of historical information. The methods used to determine the discount rate (the assumed rate of return on plan assets held in trust) are mandated and must be disclosed, along with what the impact would be on the net pension liability if that rate changed by 1% in either direction. Other new disclosure requirements include details of the changes in the components of the net pension liability, comparisons of actual employer contributions to actuarially determined contributions, and ratios to put the net pension liability in context. For single-employer and agent multiple-employer plans, the information for these statements will come from the annual actuarial valuation. For cost sharing plans, this information will be derived from the financial reports of the plan itself, multiplied by the government’s proportionate share of plan.

GASB 67 and 68 are only applicable to pension plans. However, the GASB has announced its intent to issue similar standards for other postemployment benefits (e.g., retiree healthcare) on a two year delay from these standards.
We are providing this letter in connection with your audit of the financial statements of the City of Pontiac as of June 30, 2012 and for the year then ended for the purpose of expressing opinions as to whether the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Pontiac and the respective changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We confirm that we are responsible for the fair presentation of the previously mentioned financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We are also responsible for adopting sound accounting policies, establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and preventing and detecting fraud.

We confirm, to the best of our knowledge and belief, as of December 28, 2012, the following representations made to you during your audit.

1. The financial statements referred to above are fairly presented in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and include all properly classified funds and other financial information of the primary government and all component units required by generally accepted accounting principles to be included in the financial reporting entity.

2. We have made available to you all—
   a. Financial records and related data and all audit or relevant monitoring reports, if any, received from funding sources.
   b. Minutes of the meetings of the City Council or summaries of actions of recent meetings for which minutes have not yet been prepared.

3. There have been no communications from regulatory agencies concerning noncompliance with, or deficiencies in, financial reporting practices.

4. There are no material transactions that have not been properly recorded in the accounting records underlying the financial statements or the schedule of expenditures of federal awards.

5. We believe the effects of the uncorrected financial statement misstatements summarized in the attached schedule are immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the financial statements
taken as a whole. In addition, you have proposed adjusting journal entries that have been posted. We are in agreement with those adjustments.

6. We acknowledge our responsibility for the design and implementation of programs and controls to prevent and detect fraud.

7. We have no knowledge of any fraud or suspected fraud affecting the entity involving:
   a. Management,
   b. Employees who have significant roles in internal control, or
   c. Others where the fraud could have a material effect on the financial statements.

8. We have no knowledge of any allegations of fraud or suspected fraud affecting the entity received in communications from employees, former employees, analysts, regulators, or others.

9. We have a process to track the status of audit findings and recommendations.

10. We have identified to you any previous audits, attestation engagements, and other studies related to the audit objectives and whether related recommendations have been implemented.

11. We have provided our views on reported findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as our planned corrective actions, for the report.

12. The City has no plans or intentions that may materially affect the carrying value or classification of assets, liabilities, or equity.

13. The following, if any, have been properly recorded or disclosed in the financial statements:
   a. Related party transactions, including revenues, expenditures/expenses, loans, transfers, leasing arrangements, and guarantees, and amounts receivable from or payable to related parties.

   For the purposes of this letter, related parties mean members of the governing body; board members; administrative officials; immediate families of administrative officials, board members, and members of the governing body; and any companies affiliated with or owned by such individuals.

   b. Guarantees, whether written or oral, under which the City is contingently liable.

   c. All accounting estimates that could be material to the financial statements, including the key factors and significant assumptions underlying those estimates and measurements. We believe the estimates and measurements are reasonable in the circumstances.

14. We are responsible for compliance with the laws, regulations, and provisions of contracts and grant agreements applicable to us, including tax or debt limits and debt contracts; and we have identified and disclosed to you all laws, regulations and provisions of contracts and grant agreements that we believe have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts, or other financial data significant to the audit objectives, including legal and contractual provisions for reporting specific activities in separate funds.

15. There are no--
   a. Violations or possible violations of budget ordinances, laws and regulations (including those pertaining to adopting, approving, and amending budgets), provisions of contracts and grant agreements, tax or debt limits, and any related debt covenants whose effects should be
considered for disclosure in the financial statements, or as a basis for recording a loss contingency, or for reporting on noncompliance, other than those disclosed in the notes to the financial statements.

b. Unasserted claims or assessments that our lawyer has advised us are probable of assertion and must be disclosed in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

c. Other liabilities or gain or loss contingencies that are required to be accrued or disclosed by generally accepted accounting principles.

16. As part of your audit, you assisted with preparation of the financial statements and related notes. We have designated an individual with suitable skill, knowledge, or experience to oversee your services and have made all management decisions and performed all management functions. We have reviewed, approved, and accepted responsibility for those financial statements and related notes.

17. The City has satisfactory title to all owned assets, and there are no liens or encumbrances on such assets nor has any asset been pledged as collateral.

18. The City has complied with all aspects of contractual agreements that would have a material effect on the financial statements in the event of noncompliance.

19. We have followed all applicable laws and regulations in adopting, approving, and amending budgets.

20. The financial statements include all component units as well as joint ventures with an equity interest, and properly disclose all other joint ventures and other related organizations.

21. The financial statements properly classify all funds and activities.

22. All funds that meet the quantitative criteria in GASB Statement Nos. 34 and 37 for presentation as major are identified and presented as such and all other funds that are presented as major are particularly important to financial statement users.

23. Components of net assets (invested in capital assets, net of related debt; restricted; and unrestricted) and fund balances (nonspendable, restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned) are properly classified and, if applicable, approved.

24. Provisions for uncollectible receivables have been properly identified and recorded.

25. Expenses have been appropriately classified in or allocated to functions and programs in the statement of activities, and allocations have been made on a reasonable basis.

26. Revenues are appropriately classified in the statement of activities within program revenues, general revenues, contributions to term or permanent endowments, or contributions to permanent fund principal.

27. Interfund, internal, and intra-entity activity and balances have been appropriately classified and reported.

28. Special and extraordinary items, if any, are appropriately classified and reported.

29. Deposits and investments are properly classified as to risk and are properly disclosed.

30. Capital assets, including infrastructure and intangible assets, are properly capitalized, reported, and, if applicable, depreciated.
31. We have appropriately disclosed the City’s policy regarding whether to first apply restricted or unrestricted resources when an expense is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted net assets are available and have determined that net assets were properly recognized under the policy.

32. We believe that the actuarial assumptions and methods used to measure pension and OPEB liabilities and costs for financial accounting purposes are appropriate in the circumstances.

33. We acknowledge our responsibility for the required supplementary information (RSI). The required supplementary information is measured and presented within prescribed guidelines and the methods of measurement and presentation have not changed from those used in the prior period. We have disclosed to you any significant assumptions and interpretations underlying the measurement and presentation of the RSI.

34. With respect to the other supplementary information on which an In-relation-to opinion is issued, we acknowledge our responsibility for presenting the other supplementary information in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and we believe the other supplementary information, including its form and content, is fairly presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The methods of measurement and presentation of the other supplementary information have not changed from those used in the prior period, and we have disclosed to you any significant assumptions or interpretations underlying the measurement and presentation of the supplementary information.

35. We have evaluated the City’s ability to continue as a going concern and have included appropriate disclosures, as necessary, in the financial statements.

36. With respect to federal award programs:

a. We are responsible for understanding and complying with and have complied with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations, including requirements relating to preparation of the schedule of expenditures of federal awards.

b. We have prepared the schedule of expenditures of federal awards in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, and have identified and disclosed in the schedule expenditures made during the audit period for all awards provided by federal agencies in the form of grants, federal cost-reimbursement contracts, loans, loan guarantees, property (including donated surplus property), cooperative agreements, interest subsidies, insurance, food commodities, direct appropriations, and other assistance.

c. We acknowledge our responsibility for presenting the schedule of expenditures of federal awards (SEFA) in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133 §310.b and we believe the SEFA, including its form and content, is fairly presented in accordance with the Circular. The methods of measurement and presentation of the SEFA have not changed from those used in the prior period and we have disclosed to you any significant assumptions and interpretations underlying the measurement and presentation of the SEFA.

d. If the SEFA is not presented with the audited financial statements, we will make the audited financial statements readily available to the intended users of the supplementary information no later than the date we issue the supplementary information and the auditor’s report thereon.

e. We have identified and disclosed to you all of our government programs and related activities subject to OMB Circular A-133.

f. We are responsible for understanding and complying with, and except as noted in your report have complied with, the requirements of laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts and grant agreements related to each of our federal programs and have identified and disclosed to
you the requirements of laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts and grant agreements that are considered to have a direct and material effect on each major program.

g. We are responsible for establishing and maintaining, and, except as noted in your report, have established and maintained, effective internal control over compliance requirements applicable to federal programs that provides reasonable assurance that we are managing our federal awards in compliance with laws, regulations, and the provisions of contracts and grant agreements that could have a material effect on our federal programs. Except as noted in your report, we believe the internal control system is adequate and is functioning as intended.

h. We have made available to you all contracts and grant agreements (including amendments, if any) and any other correspondence with federal agencies or pass-through entities relevant to federal programs and related activities.

i. We have received no requests from a federal agency to audit one or more specific programs as a major program.

j. We have complied with the direct and material compliance requirements (except for noncompliance disclosed to you), including when applicable, those set forth in the OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement, relating to federal awards and have identified and disclosed to you all amounts questioned and any known noncompliance with the requirements of federal awards.

k. We have disclosed any communications from grantors and pass-through entities concerning possible noncompliance with the direct and material compliance requirements, including communications received from the end of the period covered by the compliance audit to the date of the auditor's report.

l. We have disclosed to you the findings received and related corrective actions taken for previous audits, attestation engagements, and internal or external monitoring that directly relate to the objectives of the compliance audit, including findings received and corrective actions taken from the end of the period covered by the compliance audit to the date of the auditor's report.

m. Amounts claimed or used for matching were determined in accordance with relevant guidelines in OMB Circular A-87, Cost Principles for State, Local, and Tribal Governments, and OMB's Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments.

n. We have disclosed to you our interpretation of compliance requirements that may have varying interpretations.

o. We have made available to you all documentation related to compliance with the direct and material requirements, including information related to federal program financial reports and claims for advances and reimbursements.

p. We have disclosed to you the nature of any subsequent events that provide additional evidence about conditions that existed at the end of the reporting period affecting noncompliance during the reporting period.

q. There are no known instances of noncompliance with direct and material compliance requirements that occurred subsequent to the period covered by the auditor's report.

r. No changes have been made in internal control over compliance or other factors that might significantly affect internal control, including any corrective action we have taken regarding significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance (including material weaknesses in internal control over compliance), have occurred subsequent to the date as of which compliance was audited.
Federal program financial reports and claims for advances and reimbursements are supported by the books and records from which the financial statements have been prepared.

The copies of federal program financial reports provided you are true copies of the reports submitted, or electronically transmitted, to the respective federal agency or pass-through entity, as applicable.

We have monitored sub recipients to determine that they have expended pass-through assistance in accordance with applicable laws and regulations and have met the requirements of OMB Circular A-133.

We have taken appropriate action, including issuing management decisions, on a timely basis after receipt of sub recipients' auditor's reports that identified noncompliance with laws, regulations, or the provisions of contracts or grant agreements and have ensured that sub recipients have taken the appropriate and timely corrective action on findings.

We have considered the results of sub recipient audits and have made any necessary adjustments to our books and records.

We have charged costs to federal awards in accordance with applicable cost principles.

We are responsible for and have accurately prepared the summary schedule of prior audit findings to include all findings required to be included by OMB Circular A-133 and we have provided you with all information on the status of the follow-up on prior audit findings by federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities, including all management decisions.

We are responsible for and have accurately prepared the auditee section of the Data Collection Form as required by OMB Circular A-133.

We are responsible for preparing and implementing a corrective action plan for each audit finding.

We have evaluated and classified any subsequent events as recognized or nonrecognized through the date of this letter. No events, including instances of noncompliance, have occurred subsequent to the balance sheet date and through the date of this letter that would require adjustment to or disclosure in the aforementioned financial statements.

Louis H. Schimmel
Emergency Financial Manager

John Naglick
Director of Finance

Name:

Carl A. Johnson
Controller